.Attorney Docket No.:1037-050US01-

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.

United States Patent Application..

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor. I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and that I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: COLOR CORRECTION USING A DEVICE-DEPENDENT DISPLAY PROFILE

	nd for which I solicit a United States pater			
I hereby state that I have any amendment referred	reviewed and understand the contents of to above.	the above-identified specifica	tion, including the claims, as amende	od by.
I acknowledge the duty t Federal Regulations, § I.	o disclose information which is material t 56 (attached hereto).	o the patentability of this appl	ication in accordance with Title 37,	Code of
certificate listed below:a	iority benefits under Title 35, United Stat and have also identified below any foreign the basis of which priority is claimed:	es Code, § 119/365 of any:for application for patent or inve	eign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date	ntor's before
a no such application b. such applications	ns have been filed. have been filed as follows:			
E	DREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, C	LAIMING PRIORITY UNDI	ER 35 USC § 119	
COUNTRY.:	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	
		ļ		1
ALL FO	REIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI	LED BEFORE THE PRIOR	TY APPLICATION(S)	
ALL FO	PREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI	LED BEFORE THE PRIORI DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month; year)	

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS
60/443,939	30 January 2003	



Steven J. Shumaker Reg. No. 36,275 Daniel J. Hanson Reg. No. 46,757 Kent J. Sieffert Reg. No. 41,312 Kelly P. Fitzgerald Reg. No. 46,326 Jason D. Kelly Reg. No. 54,213 Kari H. Bartingale Reg. No. 35,183 Richard J. Gregson Reg. No. 41,804 Amelia Buharin Reg. No. 38,835

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization: who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105 St. Paul, Minnesota 55125

Telephone: 651.735.1100 Facsimile: 651.735.1102

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1601 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name	Family:Näme:	First Given Name	Second Given/Name J.
Of Inventor	Edge:	Christoper	
Residence & Citizenship	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship
	St. Paul:	Minnesota	United States of America
Post Office	Post Office Address	City	State & Zip Code/Country
Address	1890 Jefferson Avenue.	St. Paul	MN 55105/USA
ignature of Inventor	Clot Pe	Date:	1/29/04

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner: prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (I) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application: believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (I) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application:
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.